

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/624,090	07/21/2003	Shawn E. Edmondson	6186.4-1-1	6007
23559 75	90 06/17/2005		EXAM	INER
•	ARDT, KOPF & HARR	CHANG, JUNGWON		
INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DOCKET CLERK 1445 ROSS AVENUE, SUITE 4000 DALLAS, TX 75202-2790			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2154	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/17/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	"	
_	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/624,090	EDMONDSON, SHAWN E.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Jungwon Chang	2154
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, ma ly within the statutory minimum o will apply and will expire SIX (6) to e. cause the application to become	y a reply be timely filed thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 M</u> 2a)□ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under M.	s action is non-final. ance except for formal n	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14,16-22 and 25-43 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-14,16-22 and 25-43 is/are rejected 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		-
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abe ction is required if the draw	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received i prity documents have be au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	n Application No een received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/18/05.	Paper	ew Summary (PTO-413) No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/624,090 Page 2

Art Unit: 2154

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is responsive to amendment filed on 03/04/2005. Claims 1, 15, 23, and 24 are canceled, and claims 25-43 are newly added. Claims 1-14, 16-22 and 25-43 are presented for examination.

- Claim 27 is objected to because the following informalities:
 Line 2, "the one or more the one or more traffic" should be "the one or more traffic"
 Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claim 38 is objected to because it is improperly dependent on claim 39 (a claim cannot dependent on later claim). Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 5. Claims 25, 26, 31-33, 41 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fichou et al. (US 6,072,773), hereinafter Fichou.

Application/Control Number: 10/624,090 Page 3

Art Unit: 2154

6. As to claims 25 and 41, Fichou discloses the invention as claimed, including a programmable device storing instructions that, when read by the programmable device, cause the programmable device to perform a method (col. 28, lines 24-49) comprising:

generating from one or more traffic descriptors (col. 3, line 36 – col. 4, line 27) non-Boolean configuration information for a network element (400, 401, fig. 4; reserving bandwidth; col. 4, lines 41-64; col. 6, line 31 – col. 7, line 58; col. 14, line 66 – col. 15, line 1), the one or more traffic descriptors describing packetized traffic to be transmitted over a packet network using at least in part Boolean expressions on primitive network predicates (402, 500, 600, fig. 4; figs. 5-11; abstract; col. 1, line 65 – col. 2, line 5; col. 15, lines 3-7; col. 15, line 57 – col. 16, lien 35; col. 16, line 50 – col. 17, line 7);

causing configuration of the network element using the non-Boolean, configuration information (col. 2, line 7-52; col. 3, lines 20-30; col. 4, lines 41-64; col. 8, lines 14-30).

- 7. As to claim 26, Fichou discloses wherein the primitive network predicates include one or more of the following: transmission protocol, source address, destination address, source ports, destination ports, precedence value and type of service (packet header inherently has a routing information, such as protocol information, source IP address, destination IP address, source port number, destination port number, quality of service; col. 1, lines 57-63; col. 6, lines 14-30).
- 8. As to claims 31-33, Fichou discloses the configuration information includes an

Application/Control Number: 10/624,090

Art Unit: 2154

access list (col. 8, lines 1-30; col. 4, lines 41-64; col. 9, lines 18-67; col. 10, lines 1-42).

9. As to claim 42, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 26.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 10. Claims 2-14,16-22, 27-30, 34-40 and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fichou et al. (US 6,072,773), hereinafter Fichou, in view of Wang et al. (US 6,636,505).
- 11. As to claim 34, Fichou discloses the invention as claimed, including a method for generating configuration information, comprising:

generating one or more traffic descriptors (col. 3, line 36 – col. 4, line 27), the one or more traffic descriptors describing packet using at least in part Boolean expressions on primitive network predicates (402, 500, 600, fig. 4; figs. 5-11; abstract; col. 1, line 65 – col. 2, line 5; col. 15, lines 3-7; col. 15, line 57 – col. 16, lien 35; col. 16, line 50 – col. 17, line 7);

causing configuration of the network element using the non-Boolean,

Application/Control Number: 10/624,090

Art Unit: 2154

configuration information (col. 2, line 7-52; col. 3, lines 20-30; col. 4, lines 41-64; col. 8, lines 14-30).

- 12. Fichou discloses generating one or more traffic descriptors (col. 3, line 36 – col. 4, line 27), the one or more traffic descriptors describing packetized traffic to be transmitted over a packet network (402, 500, 600, fig. 4; figs. 5-11; abstract; col. 1, line 65 – col. 2, line 5; col. 15, lines 3-7; col. 15, line 57 – col. 16, lien 35; col. 16, line 50 – col. 17, line 7); and traffic policies (traffic policy that inherently include a high-level description; col. 4, lines 41-64; col. 9, lines 18-52). However, Fichou does not specifically disclose application profile containing a high-level description of treatment of packets originating from the application for transmission over a network. Wang discloses disclose application profile containing a high-level description of treatment of packets originating from the application for transmission over a network (col. 8, line 64 – col. 9, line 2; col. 9, lines 22-55; col. 11, lines 37-67; col. 28, line 66 - col. 29, line 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Fichou and Wang because Wang's application profile would improve the quality of service by routing the packet with best path which optimizes a cost of the network.
- 13. As to claims 27-29, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 34 above.

Art Unit: 2154

14. As to claim 30, Fichou discloses the plurality of applications are associated with a single service plan on a network, to which the network element belongs (col. 8, lines 1-30; col. 4, lines 41-64; col. 9, lines 18-67; col. 10, lines 1-42).

- 15. As to claims 35 and 39, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 34 above.
- 16. As to claims 36-38, Fichou discloses the configuration information includes an access list (col. 8, lines 1-30; col. 4, lines 41-64; col. 9, lines 18-67; col. 10, lines 1-42).
- 17. As to claim 40, Fichou discloses wherein the primitive network predicates include one or more of the following: transmission protocol, source address, destination address, source ports, destination ports, precedence value and type of service (packet header inherently has a routing information, such as protocol information, source IP address, destination IP address, source port number, destination port number, quality of service; col. 1, lines 33-40; col. 6, lines 14-30).
- 18. As to claim 43, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 34 above.
- 19. As to claim 4, Fichou discloses the invention substantially as claimed, including a method comprising:

receiving a policy specifying a quality of service (QoS) treatment for packets for

Art Unit: 2154

at least one application in one or more packet networks (col. 4, lines 41-64; col. 9, lines 18-32; col. 23, line 56 – col. 24, line 29);

automatically generating configuration information for one or more network elements of said one or more packet networks for treatment of the packets for the at least one application according to said specified QoS treatment (col. 2, lines 7-52; col. 3, lines 20-30; col. 4, lines 41-64; col. 8, lines 14-30);

automatically generating at least one traffic descriptor for said application based at least in part on said policy (col. 3, line 36 – col. 4, line 27; col. 5, lines 1-4; col. 9, lines 18-32).

- 20. Fichou discloses a policy (col. 4, lines 41-64; col. 9, lines 18-32). However, Fichou does not specifically use a term "profile". Wang discloses disclose a profile (col. 8, line 64 col. 9, line 2; col. 9, lines 22-55; col. 11, lines 37-67; col. 28, line 66 col. 29, line 4). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the teachings of Fichou and Wang because Wang's profile would improve the quality of service by routing the packet with best path, which optimizes a cost of the network.
- 21. As to claims 2 and 3, Fichou discloses updating a configuration of said one or more network elements based at least in part on said configuration information (col. 12, lines 20-60).
- 22. As to claim 5, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth in claim 4 above.

- 23. As to claims 6, 7 and 9-11, Fichou discloses the configuration information includes an access list (col. 8, lines 1-30; col. 4, lines 41-64; col. 9, lines 18-67; col. 10, lines 1-42) comprising: at least one clause comprising one or more network criteria; and at least one match rule specifying whether said one or more packets matching said one or more network criteria are to be permitted or denied (col. 4, lines 16-27; col. 9, lines 5-17).
- 24. As to claim 8, Fichou discloses network criteria is selected from the group consisting of protocol, source address, destination address, source ports, destination ports, precedence value and type of service (packet header inherently has a routing information, such as protocol information, source IP address, destination IP address, source port number, destination port number, quality of service; col. 1, lines 57-63; col. 6, lines 14-30; col. 4, lines 16-27; col. 12, lines 20-60).
- 25. As to claims 12-14, Fichou discloses service plane selection is selected from the group consisting of a normal service plane, a low priority data service plane, a medium priority data service plane, a high priority data service plane, a reserved bandwidth service plane, a video service plane, and a voice service plane (col. 1, liens 26-63; col. 3, line 36 col. 4, line 27).
- 26. As to claims 16-21, they are rejected for the same rejection set forth in claim 4

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 10/624,090

Art Unit: 2154

above.

- 27. As to claim 22, it is rejected for the same rejection set forth in claim 8 above.
- 28. Applicant's arguments, see pages 8-9, filed on 3/4/2005, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 2-14 and 16-22 under 102 have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Fichou et al. (US 6,072,773), and Wang et al. (US 6,636,505).
- 29. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jungwon Chang whose telephone number is (703)305-9669. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30-6:00 (Monday-Friday).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John A Follansbee can be reached on (703)305-8498. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 10/624,090

Art Unit: 2154

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JWC

June 12, 2005

CAMPRE TURE WIND